<u>REMARKS</u>

The examiner stated in the office action dated April 20, 2005 that the reply filed on

February 3, 2005 is not fully responsive to the prior office action because applicant had not

responded to the rejection 35 USC § 112, ¶2 with regard to the use of "oil producing organism."

In the February 2005 response, applicants had amended claim 9 so that "oil producing"

was deleted from "oil producing organism." Applicants herein amend claim 9 to clarify that "oil

producing organism" means organisms which are capable of synthesizing fatty acids. The

support for this amendment is found in the specification on page 19, last paragraph.

In view of the present submission applicants believe the office actions now properly have

been responded to.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper,

including Extension of Time fees to Deposit Account No. 14-1437. Please credit any excess fees

to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

NOVAK DRUCE DeLUCA & QUIGG, LLP

Daniel S. Kim

Reg. No. 51877

1300 Eye Street, N.W. 400 East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005

(202)659-0100